

HIGH TEMPERATURE INTERACTIONS AMONG MINERALS OCCURRING IN COAL

BY
DONALD L. BIGGS AND CURTIS G. LINDSAY

AMES LABORATORY*, IOWA STATE MINING AND MINERAL
RESOURCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AND
THE DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SCIENCES,
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
AMES, IOWA 50011

INTRODUCTION

Mineral impurities in coal are known to be primary contributors to the slagging and fouling of utility boilers, fly ash and bottom ash production as well as atmospheric pollution. They also produce undesirable effects in some parts of hydrogenation processes such as liquifaction and gasification (1,2,3). Despite a long history of investigation prompted by these observations, many questions remain unanswered.

Simple empirical relationships between fusion temperature of the furnace deposits and the mineralogy of coals have been proposed (4,5,6). More recently attempts have concentrated on a physico-chemical view of the problem comparing ash fusion temperatures with phase relations in three-component chemical systems (7,8). This method of attack has yielded some significant results, but at least some researchers (8) have questioned the assumption that these processes occur under conditions of equilibrium.

The aim of the research described here is to observe interactions between minerals known to occur in coals in the most direct fashion possible and in the simplest conditions consistent with causing the reactions to occur. It is considered that observation of simple mixtures of minerals observed to enter into reaction may make possible a better accounting of the processes by which slag and fouling deposits form in furnaces.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF COAL MINERALS. Two coal samples collected from different coal basins in the United States (see Table I), were subjected to low-temperature ashing as described by Gluskoter (9). This process avoids destruction of the minerals while oxidizing the organic portion of the coal. This ashing procedure occurs at a much lower temperature than that of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) method it is given the name of "low-temperature ash" and generally abbreviated, LTA. In formation of a mineral concentrate by low-temperature ashing (LTA) a few changes are anticipated; some clays are reversibly dehydrated, hydrated sulfates are reduced to the hemihydrate form, for instance gypsum is converted to bassanite. Because these changes are known in advance, due allowance can be made for them.

*Operated for the U. S. Dept. of Energy by Iowa State Univ. under contract No. W-7405 Eng-82.

TABLE I

Coal Samples, Localities of Origin, and Analyses
(all samples run-of-mine)

Seam: Illinois #6
Locality: St. Clair County, Illinois

Analysis:

	9 mesh x 0 Raw	9 x 32 mesh Float	9 x 32 mesh Sink
Moisture (%):	5.31	5.44	2.55
Ash, ASTM (%):	32.86	7.10	68.05
Pyritic Sulfur (%):	2.46	0.76	5.08
Total Sulfur (%):	4.57	4.57	5.94
Heating Value (BTU/lb.):	9,039	13,248	3,574

Seam: Upper Freeport
Locality: Grant County, West Virginia

Analysis:

	9 mesh x 0 Raw	9 x 32 mesh Float	9 x 32 mesh Sink
Moisture (%):	0.30	0.68	0.93
Ash, ASTM (%):	35.90	7.26	72.10
Pyritic Sulfur (%):	1.58	0.27	2.62
Total Sulfur (%):	2.18	1.06	3.00
Heating Value (BTU/lb.):	9,695	13,365	3,086

Mineral constituents of the LTA concentrates were identified by x-ray diffraction techniques. Illite, kaolinite, quartz and pyrite are ubiquitous in the mineral suites; calcite occurs in most concentrates. Many other minerals have been identified in coals, but were not observed in these specimens.

HEATING-STAGE MICROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS. Following the characterization of the mineral suites by x-ray diffraction techniques, each LTA concentrate was heated in a heating stage mounted on a microscope fitted for observation in vertically incident light. Concentrates examined in this way and the product phases are found in Table II.

TABLE II

LTA Samples and Heating Products

<u>LTA Sample</u>	<u>T_{max} (°C)</u>	<u>Phases Identified</u>
Upper Freeport raw	560	quartz, illite, pyrrhotite
	1410	quartz, mullite ^a
Upper Freeport 1.40 float	1031	quartz ^b
	1250	quartz ^b
Upper Freeport 1.40 sink	635	quartz, illite, pyrrhotite
	1150	quartz, pyrrhotite, illite ^c
Illinois #6 raw	880 ^d	quartz, illite, pyrr- hotite, oldhamite(?)
Illinois #6 1.40 float	625	quartz, illite, pyrr- hotite, troilite (?)
	1370	quartz, pyrrhotite
Illinois #6 1.40 sink	920	quartz, illite, pyrr- hotite, oldhamite
	1334	quartz, pyrrhotite, oldhamite

NOTES:

- ^aXRD peaks occurred at the correct diffraction angles for mulite, but were too weak to permit accurate intensity comparisons.
- ^bThe overall pattern was similar to the one for the illite-kaolinite pair heated to 1410°C, except that stronger peaks for quartz were found in the LTA XRD pattern.
- ^cPeaks were detected at some of the diffraction angles for illite, but the intensities were not comparable with standard patterns; it is possible that these were relict peaks of illite as it began to alter.
- ^dAnother sample of Illinois #6 raw LTA was heated to 1421°C; it formed a hard, dark-coloured glass at about 1400°C, and this material could not be removed from the heating-stage crucible.

The heating stage is limited to inert atmosphere or vacuum operation. Therefore, reactions sensitive to atmospheric conditions, such as partial pressure of oxygen cannot be studied. Furthermore, the extremely small particle size of the sample resulted in inability to observe changes occurring below the mount surface, and to resolve the specific minerals entering into a reaction at any point in the run.

These difficulties were met by obtaining samples of the minerals identified in the LTA concentrate before heating, grinding them to approximately the same size consist as the concentrate, and mounting them in separate domains in the heating stage crucible. The geometry of these mounts is shown in Fig. 1.

EXPERIMENTS WITH KNOWN MINERALS

EXPERIMENTS WITH INDIVIDUAL MINERALS. Single mineral mounts in the heating-stage crucible yielded the expected products, that is, pyrite yielded pyrrhotite and troilite, calcite gave lime and carbon dioxide, and clays reacted under high temperature conditions to yield a silicate glass.

EXPERIMENTS WITH PAIRS AND TRIPLETS OF KNOWN MINERALS. In these experiments, known minerals were ground and placed in the heating-stage crucible in separate domains as pairs or triplets of minerals. The pairs and triplets were heated and the behavior at their boundaries observed. Table III lists the minerals used in pair mounts and the reaction products obtained by heating.

TABLE III

Mineral Pairs and Heating Products

<u>Mineral Pair</u>	<u>t_{max} (°C)</u>	<u>Products Identified^a</u>
calcite-illite	1310	lime (CaO)
calcite-kaolinite	1322	lime (CaO)
calcite-montmorillonite	1285	(indeterminate) ^b
calcite-pyrite	1253	lime, pyrrhotite (Fe _{1-x} S), oldhamite (CaS)
calcite-quartz	1467	quartz (SiO ₂), lime (CaO)
illite-kaolinite	1410	mullite (Al ₆ Si ₂ O ₁₃) ^c
illite-montmorillonite	662	(indeterminate) ^b
illite-montmorillonite	1212	(indeterminate) ^b
illite-pyrite	1519	pyrrhotite, troilite (FeS)
illite-quartz	1450	quartz
kaolinite-montmorillonite	1403	mullite (poorly- crystalline) ^c
kaolinite-pyrite	1445	mullite
kaolinite-quartz	1220	quartz
montmorillonite-pyrite	1053	(indeterminate)
montmorillonite-quartz	1492	quartz
pyrite-quartz	1571	quartz

Notes:

^a Only those products are listed which could be positively identified by XRD; no attempt is made here to deduce the composition of amorphous products.

^b XRD patterns for these heating products did not match any standard pattern closely; attempts to match with computer routines produced results of low reliability.

^c "Poorly crystalline" means that diffraction maxima were found corresponding to the indicated phase, but peaks were not sharp and did not have the correct relative intensities in all cases.

Because the most reactive phases found in the experiments with pairs of minerals were clays, calcite, and pyrite, these were prepared in triplet mounts. In trials using either montmorillonite or illite with calcite and pyrite, a liquid formed at the mutual boundary of the latter pair at 600 - 650°C. Pyrite and calcite had, of course, previously reacted and this liquid therefore occurred between the product phases pyrrhotite and lime. Subsequent x-ray analysis showed the presence of pyrrhotite, lime, and oldhamite. In both instances, the temperature of this reaction was lower than that obtained in the pair mount of calcite and pyrite, 1140°C. When kaolinite was in the mount with calcite and pyrite, the same reaction occurred at 750 - 760°C. Though the fluxing action of the clays is not presently understood, the differences in reaction temperature with and without clay is considered significant.

The most obvious reaction of the clays themselves during these experiments was a darkening beginning with pyrite decomposition. This was more marked in the case of illite and montmorillonite. It is considered that in all cases, the clay mineral present formed a silicate glass, much like those found in furnace slags, but having, perhaps, less oxygen.

SUPPORTING EXPERIMENTS. To examine the effect of oxidizing and reducing atmosphere on these materials, graphite crucibles 10mm in diameter and 5mm deep were packed in the same manner described above and heated in a furnace fitted to permit introduction of controlled gases during heating. After heating, the samples were examined by scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS). Samples treated in this way are listed in Table IV.

TABLE IV

Subjects of Supporting Experiments

<u>Assemblage</u>	<u>Atmosphere</u>	<u>T (°C)</u>	<u>Duration (min)</u>
calcite-kaolinite	inert	1400	60
calcite-quartz	inert	1400	60
pyrite-quartz	oxidizing	1200	30
pyrite-quartz	reducing	1200	30
pyrite-calcite	reducing	1200	30
pyrite-kaolinite	oxidizing	1200	30
pyrite-kaolinite	reducing	1200	30
pyrite-montmorillonite	inert	800	15
pyrite-montmorillonite	reducing	800	15
py.-calc.-kao.	reducing	1200	30
py.-calc.-mont.	inert	800	15
py.-calc.-mont.	reducing	800	15

NOTE:

The indicated temperature was the steady-state temperature for the trial. The indicated duration was the period of time for which that steady-state temperature was maintained.

In all these cases where pyrite was used with a clay, iron was found to have migrated from the region that was originally iron sulfide into the clay. Elemental mapping showed the presence of iron to have completely pervaded the region formerly occupied by clay. In the calcite-pyrite pair mount, the iron was lost. EDS mapping showed the presence of abundant calcium and sulfur, but iron was present in small amount. In all mounts containing calcite and pyrite, the calcium and sulfur peaks were present in the region originally occupied by calcite, and sometimes found in the region that had been pyrite-filled.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

It is apparent that the phenomena described here are not complete processes terminating in equilibrium assemblages. The times of reaction are too short for many of the products of silicates such as clays and quartz to come to thermodynamic equilibrium at the new temperature. That this is indeed the case in operation of power-plant boilers is obvious from the consideration of the amount of glass found in furnace slags and fly-ash.

Illite and montmorillonite are similar in structure and differ slightly from kaolinite in this regard. The first two are composed of two silicon-oxygen layers per octahedral layer containing iron, magnesium and aluminum and in kaolinite the ratio of tetrahedral and octahedral layers is 1. In these crystals, thermal modification is easier because the bonds formed between the Al, Fe, and Mg atoms and oxygen are weaker than the Si-O bonds. Clays, therefore are expected to be more reactive than the silica crystals. There is evidence that some glass is formed in the clay mineral domains during thermal treatment and that iron diffuses into the mass. Further inquiries are in progress to answer these questions.

Calcite appears largely inert until temperatures approaching 600°C in the presence of some of the clay minerals and inert until about 900°C in their absence. The cause of this fluxing is not well understood at this time, but investigations are planned to elucidate this behavior. At whatever temperature, the reaction observed is for the calcite to decompose to lime (CaO) and carbon dioxide. The extent to which carbon dioxide fluxes further reaction is not known, but must be considered as an important step in complete explanation.

Like calcite, pyrite is quite reactive and its thermal behavior is influenced by the presence of clay minerals. The initial reaction temperature of pyrite alone or in the presence of calcite alone is to produce pyrrhotite, (Fe_{1-x}S) and at the highest temperature, troilite (FeS). The loss of sulfur is obvious and continues over an appreciable temperature range. T

The most important reaction products are those of the iron enrichment of the clay minerals, probably a precursor of the iron oxide and glass mixtures commonly observed in slags and fly-ashes, and the formation of the sulfide of calcium, oldhamite. That oldhamite is observed in all experiments where calcite and pyrite interact, and that anhydrite is observed only where they have reacted in the presence of an oxygen-rich atmosphere supports the conclusion that oldhamite, formed in the reducing part of a flame, is a necessary precursor to the formation of the sulfate, anhydrite.

Acknowledgements


This material is based, in part, on work supported by the U.S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, under Grant No. G1106002. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior.

Work on other parts of this publication was performed in Ames Laboratory and was supported by the Assistant Secretary of Fossil Energy, Division of Coal Utilization through the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Coal Preparation Branch.


REFERENCES

- (1) Mukkherjee, D.K., and Chowdhury, B. : Fuel, 55, pp.4-8 (1976).
- (2) Wakely, L.D., Davis, A., Jenkins, R.G., Mitchell, G.D., and Walker, P.L. Jr. : Fuel, 58, pp.379-385 (1979).
- (3) Gray, D. : Fuel, 57, pp.213-216 (1978).
- (4) Moody, A.H., and Langhan, D.D. : Combustion, 6, pp.13-20 (1935)
- (5) Gauger, A.W. : Procedures of the American Society of Testing Materials 37, Part I, pp.376-401 (1937).
- (6) Palmenburg, O.W. : Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 31, pp. 1058-1059. (1939).
- (7) Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Report CS-1418, Research Project 736; prepared by Battelle, Columbus Laboratories (1980).
- (8) Huggins, F.E., Kosmack, D.A., and Huffman, G.P. : Fuel, 60, pp. 577-584 (1981).
- (9) Gluskoter, H.J. : Fuel, 44, pp. 285-291 (1965)


Pair Mounts



Triplet Mounts



**Triple-Junction
Mount**



**Parallel-Boundary
Mount**

Figure 1. Geometric arrangements of mineral pairs and triplets used in heating-stage microscopic experiments and in supporting experiments. Approximate diameters of actual mounts: for heating-stage experiments--5 mm; for supporting experiments--11 mm. In all triplet mounts, mineral "3" was a clay mineral.